

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/162,849	09/29/1998	YUMI SUZUKI	FUJA	9310
26304 75	90 06/02/2004		EXAMINER	
KATTEN MU	CHIN ZAVIS ROSEN	ANYA, CHARLES E		
575 MADISON NEW YORK	AVENUE NY 10022-2585	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1.2.1 Foldis, 1.1 10022 2005			2126	
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/162,849	SUZUKI ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charles E Anya	2126				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 30 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a)						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: NONE.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:	SUP T	MENG-AL T. AN ER ORY PATENT EXAMINER ECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new added claim language inter alia "running on said external environment description unit" in claims 1 and 3 raise new issue that require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed on April 20, 2004 has been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

1. In the remarks Applicant argued in substance that the AAPA (Applicant's Admited Prior Art) fails to teach "executing the external environment description unit as a single task of the operating system". In Examiner's rejection of December 15, 2003 the AAPA is not used the reject the said limitation rather the Wolfgang reference is used because signals are transferred from a first software component to a second software component and when the signals are processed in the second software component only a single operating system process is executed.